

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/823,828	CHEN ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
ANDY HO	2194	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Andy Ho. (3) _____.
 (2) Eric A. Stephenson (38,321). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 April 2009

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

104-105

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Andy Ho/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2194

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner informed the applicant that the application was in condition for allowance. However, non-elected claims 104-105 needed to be cancelled and Attorney Docket No. in the specification needed to be removed. The applicant agreed with the suggestion and authorized the examiner to make the changes in an examiner's amendment.